




## 2019 World Championship – Stuttgart (GER) Men's Technical Committee Report

By Mr Arturs MICKEVICS, President Men's Technical Committee

### 1. PARTICIPATION IN INDIVIDUAL QUALIFICATION

80 National Federations (NF) participated ↑

(Arrow ↑ ↓ shows numbers comparing with 2018 World Championships Doha)



Continental union	NF	%
UEG	39 ↑	48,75
AGU	20 ↑	25,00
UAG	5 ↑	6,25
PAGU	14 =	17,5
OCE	2 =	2,5
	<b>80 ↑</b>	

From 80 NF participated

25 competed as a Team

29 competed with 3 gymnasts

17 competed with 2 gymnasts

9 competed with 1 gymnast

Affiliated federations	Participated NF	%
UEG 50	39	78,0
AGU 38	20	52,63
UAG 21	5	23,8
PAGU 30	14	46,7
OCE 8	2	25,0

### 2. TOTAL 255 GYMNASTS PARTICIPATED ↓

#### GYMNASTS PER EVENT:

Floor – 205 ↑

Pommel Horse – 205 ↑

Rings – 201 ↑

Vault – 205 ↑

Parallel Bars – 192 ↑

High Bar - 199 ↑




### 3. PARTICIPATION IN TEAM QUALIFICATION

25 NFs participated with a team:


RUS, CHN, JPN, USA, GBR, BRA, NED, SUI, UKR, GER, ESP, FRA, KOR, ITA, TUR, KAZ, TPE, CAN, BEL, HUN, ROU, NOR, FIN, BLR, **AUS**

- The top 24 teams from the 2018 FIG ART WCH in Doha
- Should a Continent not be represented among the 24 teams from the 2018 FIG ART WCH in Doha, the highest ranked team from the 2019 Continental Championships concerned (or, if necessary, from the team ranking results of the Qualifications of the 2018 WCH) will be allocated a team position.
- No team was present from Africa as they did not participate a team in Doha and did not hold a continental championship in 2019.



Continental union	No of teams	%
UEG	16	64
AGU	5	20
UAG	0	0
PAGU	3	12
OCE	1	4
	25	

### 4. PARTICIPATION IN TEAM FINAL




Continental union	No of teams	%
UEG	4=	50
AGU	3↑	37,5
UAG	0	0
PAGU	1↓	12,5
OCE	0	0
	8	



## 5. PARTICIPATION IN ALL AROUND QUALIFICATION

156 athletes participated in all around qualification




Continental union	No of the gymn.	%
UEG	85↑	54,5
AGU	28↑	17,9
UAG	4↑	2,6
PAGU	35↑	22,4
OCE	4↑	2,6
	156↑	

## 6. PARTICIPATION IN ALL AROUND FINAL

7 NF competed with 2 gymnasts: CHN, GBR, RUS, SUI, TPE, UKR, USA

10 NF competed with 1 gymnast: BRA, GER, ESP, FRA, ITA, JPN, KAZ, KOR, LTU, PHI

Total NF - 17



Continental union	No of the gymn.	%
UEG	13↓	62,5
AGU	8↑	25
UAG	0=	0
PAGU	3=	12,5
OCE	0=	0
	24	

## 7. PARTICIPATION IN APPARATUS FINAL

1 NF competed in 5 finals: RUS

1 NF competed in 4 finals: GBR

2 NF competed in 3 finals: CHN, JPN

7 NF competed in 2 finals: BRA, FRA, GER, KOR, TPE, TUR, UKR,

13 NF competed in 1 final: ARM, AUS, CRO, GRE, IRL, ISR, ITA, HGK, NED, PHI, ROU, USA, VIE


Total NF – 24↑



**8. MEDAL DISTRIBUTION BY PARTICIPATING NF**

<b>NF</b>	<b>Gold</b>	<b>Silver</b>	<b>Bronze</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>RUS</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>GBR</b>	<b>2</b>			<b>2</b>
<b>TUR</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>		<b>2</b>
<b>BRA</b>	<b>1</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>PHL</b>	<b>1</b>			<b>1</b>
<b>CHN</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>CRO</b>		<b>1</b>		<b>1</b>
<b>ITA</b>		<b>1</b>		<b>1</b>
<b>ISR</b>		<b>1</b>		<b>1</b>
<b>TPE</b>		<b>1</b>		<b>1</b>
<b>JPN</b>			<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>UKR</b>			<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>FRA</b>			<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>IRL</b>			<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>


**9. MEDAL DISTRIBUTION BY CONTINENTS**





## 10. AGE OF THE GYMNASTS


**Total 288 gymnasts registered (including reserve)**



**Oldest – Marian DRAGULESKU ROU**

Year of born	No of the gymn.	%
1980	1	0,35
1984	4	1,38
1985	3	1,04
1986	3	1,04
1987	2	0,69
1988	3	1,04
1989	6	2,08
1990	8	2,78
1991	15	5,20
1992	22	7,63
1993	24	8,33
1994	18	6,25
1995	35	12,15
1996	36	12,50
1997	28	9,72
1998	23	7,99
1999	25	8,68
2000	20	6,94
2001	12	4,17

**Total of 32 gymnasts won medals**



Year of born	No of the gymn.	%
1989	1	3,13
1991	1	3,13
1992	5	15,63
1993	4	12,5
1994	1	3,13
1995	4	12,5
1996	8	25,00
1997	2	6,25
1998	2	6,25
1999	2	6,25
2000	1	3,13
2001	1	3,13


**Youngest – Daiki HASHIMOTO JPN**

**Oldest – Samir AIT SAID FRA**




## 11. LEVEL OF PERFORMANCE


**Comparison of highest/lowest final score in Qualification**



**Comparison of highest/lowest D score in Qualification**



**Comparison of highest/lowest E score in Qualification**






## 12. FLOOR

*In Qualification 205 gymnasts competed*


*Highest score: 15, 033 Artem DOLGOPYAT ISR*

*Highest D score: 6,5 Artur DALALOYAN RUS, Carlos Edriel YULO PHI*

*Highest E score: 8,766 Chia-Hung TANG TPE*




## **Detailed E score**






## ***Exercise construction.***

*Three and more diagonal in a row in qualification*




*Three and more diagonal in a row in Final*



## ***Dismount***

*Performed during qualification*



## ***Connections***

During qualification 249 times gymnasts performed a connection of 0.1, Connection of 0.2 was performed 12 times.

## ***Intervention of the reference score:***

3 times during qualifications.

## ***Blocking of the score:***

5 times during Qualifications

2 times during Team Final

## ***Falls:***

30 times during qualifications.

## ***Time deductions:***

0,1 – 7 times, 0,3 – 3 times, 0,5 – 1 time.




### 13. POMMEL HORSE

*In Qualification 205 gymnasts competed*


*Highest score: 15,266 Max WHITLOCK GBR*

*Highest D score: 6,9 Max WHITLOCK GBR*

*Highest E score: 8,958 Jingyuan ZOU CHN*



### Detailed E score



### Exercise construction.


30 gymnasts performed Russian wenderswing as a dismount and as a separate skill.

13 gymnasts performed "Russian Travel" three times or more.



**Dismount**

Performed during qualification



During qualification dismount was not recognized **19 times**

**Intervention of the reference score:**

10 times during qualifications.

**Blocking of the score:**

8 times during qualifications.

1 time during the Pommel final.

**Inquiry:**

4 times during qualifications, 2 rejected, 2 accepted.

1 time during the Pommel final, was rejected.

**Falls:**

33 times during qualifications.

**Short exercises:**

6 times during qualifications.



## 14. RINGS


*In Qualification, 201 gymnasts competed*

*Highest score: 14,858 Ibrahim COLAK TUR*


*Highest D score: 6,3 Eleftherios PETROUNIAS GRE, Marco LODADIO ITA,*

*Igor RADIVILOV UKR*

*Highest E score: 8,666 Pablo BRAEGGER SUI*



## Detailed E score



## Exercise construction.

*During qualification 2 gymnasts did not perform a swing to handstand 2 sec.*


*During qualification 110 gymnasts performed uprise backward to support scale straddled, 13 times was not recognized.*

*During qualification 65 gymnasts performed uprise backward to swallow, 2 times was not recognized.*




**Dismount:**

**Performed during qualification**



*During qualification 1 gymnast did not perform a dismount*

**Performed during the Rings final**



**Intervention of the reference score:**

*16 times during qualifications.*

*1 time during the Rings final.*

**Blocking of the score:**

*3 times during qualifications.*

**Inquiry:**

*2 times during qualifications, 1 rejected, 1 accepted (has been used FUJITSU).*

*1 time during the Team final, was accepted.*

**Falls:**

*7 times during qualifications.*

**Short exercises:**

*1 time during qualifications.*



## 15. VAULT


*In Qualification to All around and Team final, 205 gymnasts competed  
In Qualification to Vault final, 39 gymnasts competed*

*Highest score: 15,300 Hakseon YANG KOR*


*Highest D score: 6,0 Hakseon YANG KOR, Wai Hung SHEK HKG*

*Highest E score: 9,533 Artur DALALOYAN RUS*

### **Detailed D score**



### **Detailed E score**







**39 gymnasts performed 2 vaults from the following groups:**

	1 <sup>st</sup> . Vault		2 <sup>nd</sup> Vault	
Group 1	16	41%	20	51,3%
Group 2	19	48,7%	14	35,9%
Group 3	4	10,2%	2	5,1%
Group 4			3	7,7%
Group 5				

1<sup>st</sup> Vault



2<sup>nd</sup> Vault



### **Exercise construction.**

Gymnasts performed Kasamatsu stile vault with multiple twists & Handspring salto forward str. with multiple twists or visa versa:

During Qualification to Vault final – **10 times**

During Vault final – **2 times**

### **Line deductions in qualification:**

48 times – 0,1 and 21 times – 0,3

### **Total # of falls:**

32

### **Intervention of the reference score:**

9 times during qualifications.

1 time during Vault final.

### **Blocking of the score:**

1 time during qualification.

### **Inquiry:**

1 time during qualifications, was rejected (has been used FUJITSU).




## 16. PARALLEL BARS

*In Qualification, 192 gymnasts competed*


*Highest score: 15,300 Lukas DAUSER GER*

*Highest D score: 6,6 Oleg VERNIAEV UKR, Joe FRASER GBR*

*Highest E score: 8,866 Chia-Hung TANG TPE*




### Detailed E score



### Dismount:

*Performed during qualification*



*During qualification 1 gymnast did not perform a dismount*



**Exercise construction.**

115 backward and 69 forward dismounts were performed during qualification.  
 During the Parallel Bar final Double salto forward with  $\frac{1}{2}$  turn were performed **7 times**.  
 Basket to support, B value, were performed **28 times**

**Intervention of the reference score:**

9 times during qualifications.  
 2 times during the Parallel Bar final.

**Blocking of the score:**

4 times during qualifications.  
 3 times during Team final.

**Inquiry:**

3 times during qualifications, 2 rejected (Tippelt not recognized), 1 accepted.  
 1 time during the Team final, was accepted.

**Falls:**

30 times during qualifications.

**Short exercises:**

2 times during qualifications.


## 17. HORIZONTAL BAR

In Qualification, 199 gymnasts competed

Highest score: 14,933 Chia-Hung TANG TPE


Highest D score: 6,5 Chaopan LIN CHN

Highest E score: 8,800 Niccolò MOZZATO ITA






## ***Detailed E score***



## ***Dismount:***


### ***Performed during qualification***



*During qualification 2 gymnasts did not perform a dismount*

*During qualification 1 gymnast received penalty 0,3, Art.2.4.e*

## ***Exercise construction.***





***Intervention of the reference score:***

14 times during qualifications.

1 time during the High bar final.

***Block of the score:***

1 time during qualifications.

1 time during All around final.

1 time during Team final.

***Falls:***

36 times during qualifications.

## 18. JUDGING ACTIVITIES

**66 NF were represented by 97 judges.**

5 NF were represented by 1 D or 1 R judge: CRO, SVK, BUL, IRL, SLO.


10 NF were represented by 1 D and 1 E judge: ALG, AZE, GBR, GEO, ESP, ITA, ISR, KOR, NED, USA.

9 NF were represented by 1 R and 1 E judge: ARG, AUS, BLR, CYP, GER, JPN, SUI, TUR, UKR.

12 NF were represented by 2 E judges: BEL, BRA, CAN, CHN, FIN, FRA, HUN, KAZ, NOR, ROU, RUS, TPE.

30 NF were represented by 1 E judge: ARM, AUT, CHI, COL, CRC, CZE, EGY, ESA, GRE, HKG, IND, IRI, ISL, KUW, LAT, LTU, MEX, NZL, PER, POL, POR, PRK, PUR, SWE, SYR, THA, TUN, URU, UZB, VIE.

**Judges categories**



**Caterory I – 16, including 12 D**

**Category II – 69, including 12 R**

**Category III – 12**

The MTC appointed an additional Superior jury member. FIG EC approved candidature of Alvaro SOUSA POR. During Team final and till the end of competition we have to replace SJ member to cat 1 judge from NOR.

E judges draw took place before each phase (except All around) of the competition.

One judge had to be removed from the draw due to judge concerned not attending the instruction.

All NF's received at least 1 position (including line/time)



**Judges briefing**

All relevant information judges have received from Members of the MTC. The judges instruction for the D and E judges' panel were conducted separately.

**Podium training**

Podium Training for the competition was conducted in accordance with the Qualification schedule.

The majority of the judges stayed for all subdivisions in the venue.

The D panels evaluated all of the routines that were shown by the gymnasts during the podium training. All controversial elements were immediately discussed with the coaches.

**Competition**

The D- Panels were very consistent and used the same criteria to evaluate the performances of all gymnasts.

E judges work is to be commended and intervention of R judges score in all phases of the competition shown in table below: (Arrow ↑ ↓ shows when score has been raised or lowered)

	Comp I (↑ ↓)	Comp II (↑ ↓)	Comp III (↑ ↓)	Comp IV (↑ ↓)	Total (↑ ↓)
FX	3 (3 1)	3 (2 1)			6 (5 1)
PH	10 (4 6)	4 (2 2)		2 (2 )	16 (8 8)
SR	16 (11 5)		1 (1 )		17 (12 5)
VV	10 (4 6)	3 (2 1)	1 (1 )	2 (1 1)	16 (8 8)
PB	9 (6 3)	3 (1 2)	2 (2 )	3 (2 1)	17 (11 6)
HB	6 (6 )	1 (1 )		5 (1 4)	12 (8 4)
Total ref. interv.	54 (34 20)	14 (8 6)	4 (4 )	12 (6 6)	84 (52 32)
Total exercises	1246	144	57	144	1591

**19. CONCLUSION**

It was a great pleasure to work with LOC, DTB, FIG Staff to organize such a successful and important World Championships.

I warmly thank MTC members, SJ members for their professional work as a team, all D, R and E judges for their confidence and professionalism.

Respectfully submitted,

Arturs MICKEVICS,  
President FIG/MTC