



2nd FIG Artistic Gymnastics Junior World Championships – Antalya (TUR)

Men's Technical Committee Report


By Mr Arturs MICKEVICS, President Men's Technical Committee

PARTICIPATION IN INDIVIDUAL QUALIFICATIONS

The age of the **MAG** gymnasts in 2023 must be 15, 16, 17, or 18 years old (born 2005, 2006, 2007 or 2008). NFs must declare whether their 18-year-old gymnasts will compete as junior or senior prior to their first competition in 2023.

54 National Federations (NF) participated ↓ 57 in Györ:

(Arrow ↑↓ shows numbers compared to the 2019 Junior World Championships in Györ)



Continental union	NF	%
EG	29↓ (32)	53,7
AGU	10↓ (12)	18,5
UAG	2=	3,7
PAGU	11↑ (9)	20,4
OGU	2=	3,7
	54↓ (57)	

36 NFs participated with a team:


ARG, ARM, AUS, AZE, BAN, BEL, BRA, BUL, CAN, CHN, EGY, ESP, FIN, FRA, GBR, GER, GRE, HUN, IRI, ITA, JPN, KAZ, KOR, LAT, LTU, MEX, NOR, NZL, ROU, RSA, SWE, TPE, TUR, UKR, USA, UZB.

18 NFs participated with one MAG gymnast ↓ 23 in Györ:

AUT, COL, CRC, CRO, CYP, CZE, DEN, ECU, GEO, JOR, LUX, NCA, NED, PER, POL, PUR, SGP, SVK.



TOTAL: 122 GYMNASTS PARTICIPATED



Continental union	N of the gymnasts	%
EG	65 ↑ (63)	53,8
AGU	25 ↓ (29)	20,5
UAG	6 ↑ (4)	4,9
PAGU	21 ↑ (20)	16,4
OGU	5 ↓ (6)	4,0
	122	

1. RANKING IN THE TEAM FINAL (the best 8 teams)


JPN, CHN, ITA, ARM, FRA, USA, GBR, GER

2. PARTICIPATION IN THE ALL-AROUND FINAL

8 NFs competed with 2 gymnasts: ARM, CHN, FRA, GBR, GER, EGY, ITA, JPN

8 NFs competed with 1 gymnast: AUT, CAN, COL, BRA, FIN, HUN, TUR, USA

Total NFs - 16



Continental union	N of the gymnasts	%
EG	14 ↑ (12)	58,3
AGU	4 ↓ (6)	16,7
UAG	2 ↑ (0)	8,3
PAGU	4 ↓ (6)	16,7
OGU	0 =	0
	24	



3. PARTICIPATION IN THE APPARATUS FINALS

2 NF competed in 5 finals: JPN, CHN.

1 NF competed in 4 finals: ITA.

4 NF competed in 3 finals: ARM, COL, GBR, FRA.

3 NF competed in 2 finals: EGY, GER, HUN.


7 NF competed in 1 final: CAN, BUL, FIN, NOR, KAZ, TUR, USA.

Total NF - 17 ↘ (21)

4. MEDAL DISTRIBUTION BY PARTICIPATING NF's

NF	Gold	Silver	Bronze	Total
JPN	3	1		4
COL	2	1	1	4
ARM	2	1		3
CHN	1	2		3
ITA	1		4	5
GER		1		1
CAN		1		1
EGY			1	1
HUN			1	1
KAZ			1	1


5. MEDAL DISTRIBUTION BY CONTINENTS






6. AGE OF THE GYMNASTS

Total 122 gymnasts competed in qualifications.



**18-year-old, born 2005: 55 boys
17-year-old, born 2006: 41 boys
16-year-old, born 2007: 20 boys
15-year-old, born 2008: 6 boys**

Total of 14 gymnasts won medals in the All-around & Apparatus finals.




**18-year-old, born 2005: 7 boys
17-year-old, born 2006: 5 boys
16-year-old, born 2007: 2 boys**




7. LEVEL OF PERFORMANCE


Comparison of average final score in Qualifications




Comparison of average D score in Qualifications




Comparison of average D score of the Apparatus Finals' medalists



Comparison of average E score in Qualifications



Comparison of average E score of the Apparatus Finals' medalists






8. FLOOR

In Qualifications 119 gymnasts competed


Highest score: 13,800 Masaharu TANIDA JPN

Highest D score: 5,2 Masaharu TANIDA JPN

Highest E score: 9,200 Giel VANDEBERG BEL




Detailed E score






Exercise construction.

Comparison of the EG # during Qualifications




Comparison of the EG # during AF



Dismount

Performed during Qualifications



During the Floor Final 7 gymnasts performed a D value dismount.

Connections

During Qualifications 59 times gymnasts performed a connection of 0.1, 9 times connection of 0.1 was not recognized.

Connection of 0.2 was performed 1 time, was not recognized.

Blocking of the score:

3 times during Qualifications.

1 time during Apparatus Final

Short exercises:

1 time during Qualifications.

Falls:

31 times during Qualifications.




9. POMMEL HORSE

In Qualifications 121 gymnasts competed


Highest score: 14,113 Hamlet MANUKYAN ARM

Highest D score: 5,5 Zeinolla IDRISsov KAZ

Highest E score: 9,166 Chunjie YANG CHN




Detailed E score






Exercise construction.

Comparison of the EG # during Qualifications




Comparison of the EG # during AF



Dismount

Performed during Qualifications.



During the Pommel Horse final all 8 gymnasts performed dismount through hdst.

Blocked scores:

1 time during Qualifications.

1 time during All-Around Final

Inquiry:

2 times during Qualifications – both rejected.

1 time during All-Around Final – accepted.




10. RINGS

In Qualifications 120 gymnasts competed


Highest score: 13,666 Hamlet MANUKYAN ARM

Highest D score: 5,1 Haruto KAMIYAMA JPN

Highest E score: 9,266 Hamlet MANUKYAN ARM




Detailed E score






Exercise construction.

Comparison of the EG # during Qualifications



Comparison of the EG # during AF




During the Rings Final all 8 gymnasts performed:

Jonasson, Yamawaki, giant fwd, giant bwd.

Dismount

Performed during Qualifications



Exercise construction.

During Qualifications 18 gymnasts did not perform a swing to handstand 2 sec.

During Qualifications 1 gymnast did not perform a dismount

Blocked scores:

3 times during Qualifications.



11. VAULT


In Qualifications 120 gymnasts competed

In Qualifications to Vault final, 28 gymnasts competed.



Highest score: 14,500 Masaharu TANIDA JPN

Highest D score: 6,0 Haruto KAMIYAMA JPN

Highest E score: 9,400 Masaharu TANIDA JPN, Tommaso BRUGNAMI ITA




Detailed E score 1st Vault





Detailed E score 2nd Vault





92 gymnasts performed 1 vault and 28 performed 2 vaults from the following groups:

1 st . Vault		
Group 1	41	34,2%
Group 2	6	5%
Group 3	64	53,3%
Group 4	9	7,5%
Total	120	100%

2 nd Vault	
5	17,85%
6	21,4%
15	53,6%
2	7,15%
28	100%

Exercise construction.



Line deductions in Qualifications:
26 times – 0,1 and 14 times – 0,3

Inquiry:

1 time during Qualifications – rejected.




12. PARALLEL BARS

In Qualifications 120 gymnasts competed


Highest score: 14,333 Masaharu TANIDA JPN

Highest D score: 5,3 Angele BARAJAS COL

Highest E score: 9,700 Yuto KAWASE NZL




Detailed E score






Exercise construction.

Comparison of the EG # during Qualifications




Comparison of the EG # during AF




During Qualifications **93** and during Apparatus Final **7** gymnasts performed EG II 1, **Uprise fwd to support** as special requirements.

Dismount

Performed during Qualifications



7 backward and 1 forward dismounts were performed during the **Parallel Bars Final**.



Double salto fwd. tuked with $\frac{1}{2}$ t. 1
Double piked bwd. 3
Double tucked bwd. 4

Blocked scores:

2 times during Qualifications.

3 times during All-Around Final

Falls:

11 times during Qualifications.




13. HORIZONTAL BAR

In Qualifications 118 gymnasts competed


Highest score: 13,633 Masaharu TANIIDA JPN

Highest D score: 5,9 Angele BARAJAS COL

Highest E score: 9,333 Dachi DOLIDZE GEO




Detailed E score






Exercise construction.

Comparison of the EG # during Qualifications



Comparison of the EG # during AF




Connections

During Qualifications 2 times gymnasts performed a connection of 0.1, 1 time of 0.2.


During Qualifications **53 gymnasts** performed EG I A value as special requirements.

Dismount

Performed during Qualifications



7 backward and 1 forward dismounts were performed during **the Parallel Bars Final**.



During Qualifications 1 gymnast did not perform a dismount.

Double salto bwd. str. with 1/1 t. – 6.
Double salto bwd. str. with 2/1 t. – 2

Falls:

18 times during Qualifications.

Blocked scores:

3 times during Qualifications.

Short exercises:

2 times during Qualifications.



14. JUDGING ACTIVITIES


52 NFs were represented by 60 judges.

4 NFs were represented by 1 D: ALG, POR, SRB, SVK.

8 NFs were represented by 1 D and 1 E judge: AUT, AZE, ESP, GBR, GER, ITA, NED, USA.

40 NFs were represented by 1 E judge: ARG, ARM, AUS, BEL, BRA, BUL, CAN, CHN, COL, CRC, CRO, CYP, CZE, ECU, EGY, FIN, FRA, GEO, GRE, HUN, IRI, JPN, JOR, KAZ, KOR, LAT, LTU, LUX, MEX, NOR, NZL, POL, ROU, RSA, SGP, SWE, TPE, TUR, UKR, UZB.

Judges' categories



Caterory I – 12, including 12 D

Category II – 30

Category III – 17

Category IV – 1

An additional Superior Jury member has been appointed by the MTC. The Nomination of Tom THINGVOLD NOR has been approved by the FIG EC.

The MTC had to allowed judge from COL to judge even he did not attend judge's instructions due to flight was cancelled.

E judges draw of lots took place before each phase of the competition.

MTC in favor to allowed NFs with teams to send two judges.

Judges briefing

All relevant information were received from Members of the MTC. The judge's instructions for the D and E judges' panels were conducted separately.

Podium training

Podium Training for the competition was conducted in accordance with the Qualifications schedule and for the first time consisted of two short training sessions.

All D judges stayed for all subdivisions in the venue during both podium training sessions.

The D panels evaluated all the routines that were shown by the gymnasts during the podium training. All controversial elements were immediately discussed with the coaches.



Competition

The D- Panels were very consistent and used the same criteria to evaluate the performances of all the gymnasts.

The E judges work is to be commended. E judges work was evaluated by JEP program in all phases of the competition. MTC had conducted PCVR for All around Final and Live review of Apparatus Finals. Results of MTC both evaluations were immediately sent to responsible person.

15. CONCLUSION

It was a great pleasure to work with the LOC and the FIG Staff to organize such a successful first Junior World Championships.

Special thanks to President of Jury of Appeal Ali AL-HITMI QAT and member Li Li LEUNG USA for their work and decisions taken.

I would like to thank Suat CELEN TUR, President of TUR gymnastics for his effort to host in such a short term extremely important competition for juniors.

I warmly thank the MTC members for their professional work as a team and all the D and E judges for their competence and professionalism.

Respectfully submitted,

Arturs MICKEVICS,
President FIG/MTC